



TE Connectivity

2024 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2024

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Terms of disclosure for corporate questionnaire 2024 - CDP](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	8
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	8
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	8
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	8
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	8
(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?	9
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	9
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	10
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	12
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	12
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	13
(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?	14
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities	15
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	15
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	16
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	17
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	17
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	21
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	22
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	23
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	24
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	24
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities.....	32

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	32
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	33
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.	46
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	47
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	47
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.	47
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.	48
(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?	49
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	49
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	50
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.	71

C4. Governance 73

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	73
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	74
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.	74
(4.2) Does your organization’s board have competency on environmental issues?	76
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?.....	77
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	78
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	81
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	82
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?.....	94
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	94
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	96
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	97

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.	98
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	99
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	99

C5. Business strategy..... 104

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	104
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.	104
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization’s scenario analysis.	107
(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?.....	109
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	110
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.	110
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	112
(5.4) In your organization’s financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition?	113
(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization’s climate transition.	114
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?.....	114
(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	115
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	116
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	116
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	118
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?	120
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.	121
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	123
(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.	127
(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?	130

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach 131

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	131
--	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change.....	133
(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?	133
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	133
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	133
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	134
(7.3) Describe your organization’s approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	134
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?	134
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	135
(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	143
(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?	143
(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	145
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.	152
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	154
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	155
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	156
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	158
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	158
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	164
(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?	165
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	165
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).	165
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	167
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	181
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	181
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	181
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	181

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	182
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?.....	183
(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.	183
(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?.....	266
(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	268
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	268
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	268
(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	269
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization’s consumption of fuel.	272
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	272
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	279
(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.	281
(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	309
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	330
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	332
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	333
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	333
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?.....	349
(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.	349
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	350
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	350
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	350
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	357
(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization’s goods or services?.....	358
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	358
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.	358
(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?.....	364

C9. Environmental performance - Water security..... 365

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data? 365

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions. 365

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored? 365

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change? 371

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change. 374

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source. 375

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year. 378

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities? 378

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year. 379

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified? 464

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member? 467

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization’s total water withdrawal efficiency. 467

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization’s products or services. 468

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? 468

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company’s revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority? 469

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact? 469

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets? 470

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories. 470

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made. 471

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics 474

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type? 474

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities. 474

(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content. 477

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content. 478

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used. 479

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity	481
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	481
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	481
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?	481
C13. Further information & sign off	483
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	483
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?	483
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	484
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	485
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.....	485

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

TE Connectivity Ltd. is a global industrial technology leader creating a safer, sustainable, productive, and connected future. Our broad range of connectivity and sensor solutions, proven in the harshest environments, enable advancements in transportation, industrial applications, medical technology, energy, data communications and the home. With more than 90,000 employees, including over 10,000 engineers, working alongside customers in approximately 140 countries, TE ensures that EVERY CONNECTION COUNTS.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

2 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

2 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

1 year

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

16034000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?	How does your reporting boundary differ to that used in your financial statement?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Reporting based on operational boundaries instead of financial boundaries.

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

TEL

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> South Africa |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Taiwan, China |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hong Kong SAR, China | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | |

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, this is confidential data	<i>TE views this as confidential</i>

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

At TE Connectivity, we have developed a thorough understanding of our value chain to enhance visibility, ensure effective risk management, improve traceability, and strengthen supplier engagement. This mapping extends across various tiers, including the sourcing of raw materials, manufacturing processes, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, decarbonization plans, water conservation efforts, and distribution of our products, providing insights into both upstream and downstream activities. By mapping our value chain, we are able to:

- 1. Monitor the sustainability activities:** We actively monitor and track sustainability performance across our value chain, ensuring that our suppliers and partners align with our environmental and social responsibility goals. This includes reducing GHG emissions, minimizing waste, and promoting responsible resource use in key areas such as metal and raw material supply chains.
- 2. Facilitate Supplier Engagement:** The visibility gained from mapping enables us to engage more deeply with our suppliers, helping them align with our sustainability goals. This includes reducing carbon emissions, promoting responsible resource use, and fostering innovation in sustainable product development, particularly in the metal and raw material supply chains critical to our operations.
- 3. Proactively Identify and Manage Risks:** We assess and mitigate risks related to supply chain disruptions, environmental impacts, and compliance with global regulations, ensuring we meet the high standards expected by our customers and stakeholders.
- 4. Strengthen Traceability:** Mapping our value chain allows us to trace materials and components back to their origin, ensuring compliance with sustainability standards, ethical sourcing practices, and product quality expectations across industries we serve, such as automotive, industrial, and communications. The detailed insights from our value chain mapping allow us to accurately report supplier coverage and take targeted action to drive sustainability, enhance product quality, and ensure long-term value creation for our customers.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Value chain stages covered in mapping
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Upstream value chain <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The process for identifying and budgeting capital projects related to climate change risk reduction and GHG emission reduction projects at the site level is short term consistent with our standard business practices.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

2

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The process for identifying and budgeting capital projects related to climate change risk reduction and GHG emission reduction projects at the site level is medium term consistent with our standard business practices.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long term is greater than 5 years.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization’s process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water
- Plastics

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Dependencies
- Impacts
- Risks

- Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

- Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- Site-specific
- Local
- Sub-national
- National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- EcoVadis
- WRI Aqueduct

Enterprise Risk Management

- Risk models

International methodologies and standards

- Environmental Impact Assessment
- ISO 14001 Environmental Management Standard
- Life Cycle Assessment

Other

- Desk-based research
- External consultants
- Internal company methods

- ☑ Materiality assessment
- ☑ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☑ Drought
- ☑ Tornado
- ☑ Wildfires
- ☑ Heat waves
- ☑ Cold wave/frost
- ☑ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

- ☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☑ Water stress

Policy

- ☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☑ Changes to national legislation
- ☑ Introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants
- ☑ Mandatory water efficiency, conservation, recycling, or process standards
- ☑ Statutory water withdrawal limits/changes to water allocation

Market

- ☑ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- ☑ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)

Liability

- Exposure to litigation
- Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- NGOs
- Customers
- Employees
- Investors
- Suppliers
- Regulators
- Local communities
- Water utilities at a local level
- Other water users at the basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

We do not use a single definition of substantive impact. TE's integrated risk management process considers impacts to the business - whether financial, operational, reputational, or otherwise - at an enterprise level, a business segment level, a business unit level, an operating location level, an employee level, and a stakeholder level. The process includes risk assessments and responses to the identified risks, including the risks associated with climate change, water and plastics. In addition to TE's enterprise risk management process, TE engages in business continuity planning for our business units and operating locations. TE's environmental staff work closely with finance, risk management, operations, legal and other functions to address environmental issues - including climate change, water & plastic issues - and current and emerging risks and opportunities. TE's environmental staff regularly communicates to senior management and the rest of the company on our progress against our reduction goals. In addition to these business risk mitigation activities, our risk management group also works with our insurance providers to reduce our exposures to climate change driven risks from severe weather and wildfires at our locations. Examples are designing and installing roofs for high wind exposure, flood barriers and foot print analysis to identify exposure to natural hazards (flood, windstorm and earthquake).

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

TE's integrated risk management process considers risks for TE as a whole and for individual business units, countries, and operating locations. In addition to TE's enterprise risk management process, TE engages in business continuity planning for our business units and operating locations. Both of these processes include consideration of climate change, water & plastic risks. Our staff regularly monitors risks and opportunities and evaluates the potential impact on TE's operations and business. TE's environmental experts monitor GHG emissions, water consumption and plastics issues and manage our environmental programs, including measuring, reporting and driving progress towards our reduction goals. TE's environmental staff work closely with finance, risk management, operations, legal and other functions to address environmental issues - including climate change issues - and current and emerging risks and opportunities. TE's environmental staff regularly communicates to senior management and the rest of the company on our GHG emissions and progress against our reduction goals. In addition to these business risk mitigation activities, our risk management group also works with our insurance providers to reduce our exposures to climate change driven risks from severe weather and wildfires at our locations. Examples are designing and installing roofs for high wind exposure, flood barriers and foot print analysis to identify exposure to natural hazards (flood, windstorm and earthquake). TE has also assessed risk and opportunity through the CSRD compliance process.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

TE's integrated risk management process considers risks for TE as a whole and for individual business units, countries, and operating locations. In addition to TE's enterprise risk management process, TE engages in business continuity planning for our business units and operating locations. Both of these processes include consideration of sustainability risks. Our staff regularly monitors sustainability risks and opportunities and evaluates the potential impact on TE's operations and business. TE's environmental experts monitor sustainability issues and manage our environmental programs, including measuring GHG emissions, water withdraws, resin usage, reporting and driving progress towards our reduction goals. TE's environmental staff work closely with finance, risk management, operations, legal and other functions to address environmental issues - including sustainability issues - and current and emerging risks and opportunities. TE's environmental staff regularly communicates to senior management and the rest of the company on our progress against our reduction goals. In addition to these business risk mitigation activities, our risk management group also works with our insurance providers to reduce our exposures to sustainability driven risks from severe weather and wildfires at our locations. Examples are designing and installing roofs for high wind exposure, flood barriers and foot print analysis to identify exposure to natural hazards (flood, windstorm and earthquake).

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

	Type of definition	Metrics considered in definition	Application of definition
Risks	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring	<i>The risks/opportunities are assessed annually to measure our real and/or potential exposure.</i>

	Type of definition	Metrics considered in definition	Application of definition
Opportunities	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Qualitative	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Frequency of effect occurring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Time horizon over which the effect occurs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Likelihood of effect occurring	<i>The risks/opportunities are assessed annually to measure our real and/or potential exposure.</i>

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

	Identification and classification of potential water pollutants	How potential water pollutants are identified and classified
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants	<i>This is conducted at a site level in accordance with local laws and permits.</i>

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Machining/Stamping operations; POTW Upset, surface water contamination.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Upgrading of process equipment/methods
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Phosphates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Spent plating baths; ground water contamination & POTW upset.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 3

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Inorganic pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Spent plating baths; soil and ground water contamination.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 4

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Nitrates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Spent plating baths; ground water contamination & POTW upset.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 5

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Other nutrients and oxygen demanding pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Domestic waste/Sewage; POTW upset.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 6

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Oil

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Cafeterias (fats/oils/grease); POTW Upset, surface water contamination.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

Row 7

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- Other synthetic organic compounds

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Spent plating baths; ground water and soil contamination.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- Water recycling
- Resource recovery
- Beyond compliance with regulatory requirements
- Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances
- Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- Implementation of integrated solid waste management systems
- Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

TE has implement site procedures to specifically address water pollutants and their impacts. These are customized to meet the location specific regulatory requirements and permit conditions. In addition, TE installs pollution abatement equipment to prevent out of compliance pollutants from being discharged. A verification process has been implemented to audit all operating sites at a minimum of 3 yr intervals.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The manufacturing process at TE is not water intensive. Although there is a risk, it is de minimis.

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Although there is a risk, it is de minimis.

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- Italy
- Spain
- France
- Norway
- Hungary
- Ireland
- Romania
- Portugal
- Slovakia
- Poland
- Austria
- Belgium
- Czechia
- Germany
- Netherlands
- Switzerland
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We do have some locations where current regulations create both risks (for example energy usage taxes) and opportunities (incentives for low carbon energy usage), though these are not numerous and not material for TE overall. In Shenzhen, China, where we have two manufacturing locations, one location has paid a minor energy usage tax and the other location has earned modest energy usage credits. While we do also have manufacturing locations in other geographical areas with climate-related regulations (Shanghai, Guangdong, Japan, Korea, and Singapore), these manufacturing locations have not been impacted by climate-related regulations. We continue to monitor developments in this arena, particularly in China and the EU.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Varies by site/region.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Variable cost

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

Introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

China

Italy

Spain

France

Norway

Hungary

Ireland

Romania

Poland

Austria

Belgium

Czechia

Germany

Netherlands

Switzerland

United States of America

Portugal

Slovakia

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

TE's environmental & legal functions consider all aspects of TE's business and operations, including compliance requirements of emerging regulations of contaminants.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

Disruption in upstream value chain

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

Increase supplier diversification

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Varies by business divisions

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Variable cost

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Reputation

Increased partner and stakeholder concern or negative partner and stakeholder feedback

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

We recognize that our reputation with respect to sustainability is important to our customers, our employees, our investors and the broader community. We recognize that TE could potentially face loss of business, decreased investment, employee recruitment and retention issues, and other adverse consequences if our various stakeholders did not believe that TE is taking adequate steps to address climate change.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Brand damage

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term

Medium-term

Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

Engage in multi-stakeholder initiatives

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Varies by business

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Variable cost

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk4

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

Other acute physical risk, please specify :All the above

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

China

Italy

Spain

France

Norway

Hungary

Ireland

Poland

Austria

Belgium

Czechia

Germany

Netherlands

Switzerland

- Romania
- Portugal
- Slovakia

- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Our disaster preparedness and business continuity plans include evaluations of weather extremes, including extreme temperatures, precipitation, and wind events and risk mitigation plans. Our risk management group has estimated the financial exposure of the acute physical risks addressed in these plans.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

Other diversification, please specify :Diversify geographical footprint

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Varies by business/region

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Variable cost

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

Risk5

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Chronic physical

- Other chronic physical risk, please specify :All the above

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United States of America |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovenia | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Our risk management, disaster preparedness and business continuity plans include evaluations of climate change impacts on our operating locations, for example the increase in tidal flooding risks in lower elevations areas with sea level rise.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- Disruption in production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Minimal

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

- No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Diversification

- Other diversification, please specify :Diversify geographical footprint

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Varies by business/region

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

*Variable cost
[Add row]*

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

- Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

De minimis impact

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	None

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

- Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

- Shenzhen pilot ETS

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

Shenzhen pilot ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

100

(3.5.2.2) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

100

(3.5.2.3) Period start date

01/01/2023

(3.5.2.4) Period end date

12/31/2023

(3.5.2.5) Allowances allocated

20000

(3.5.2.6) Allowances purchased

2726

(3.5.2.7) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

11.5

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO₂e

2715.26

(3.5.2.9) Details of ownership

Select from:

Facilities we operate but do not own

(3.5.2.10) Comment

None

[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

Our strategy for the only sites where we are currently regulated (Shenzhen, China) is to monitor our usage and implement energy reduction initiatives. We note that the quotas issued by the Shenzhen local government are decreasing. We also have manufacturing locations in other areas with climate-related regulations (Shanghai, Guangdong, Japan, Korea, and Singapore). To date, these manufacturing locations have not been impacted by climate-related regulations. Our strategy to prepare for compliance with future regulations, for these China, Japan, Korea, and Singapore facilities (and well as in other areas if new regulations are issued; we note the July 2021 Fit for 55 announcement by the EU) is to monitor our GHG emissions and energy usage at our facilities globally, to compare our performance to current and future requirements (when these become known), determine our options for compliance, and implement the selected option.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

*TE and it's products are not water-centric/intensive.
[Fixed row]*

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

Increased availability of products with reduced environmental impact [other than certified products]

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Austria
- Belgium
- Czechia
- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Thailand
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Republic of Korea
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Brazil
- France
- Mexico
- Norway
- Poland
- Ireland
- Morocco
- Romania
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

TE Connectivity sees opportunity in the changes in product design and energy use that will be driven by regulatory changes and customer requirements intended to reduce energy usage and greenhouse gas emissions. As our customers continue to redesign products and introduce new products, TE – as a supplier of custom-engineered components to enable those products – will benefit. TE has always worked, and will continue to work with our customers in the energy, lighting, wind, automotive, computer, consumer electronics, communications, appliance and other industries to develop smaller, faster, smarter, lighter, and more energy efficient products, of which TE components are an important part. This opportunity exists in our Appliances; Data and Devices; Aerospace, Defense, and Marine; Energy; Industrial; Automotive; Industrial and Commercial Transportation; and Sensors business units.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increase sales and revenue.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Costs are included in the normal overhead costs for the engineering, R&D, and sales organizations.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Strong engagement with customers, with a focus on providing engineered connectivity and sensor solutions. Often our design engineers are embedded in the customers' design process, allowing us to not only assist the specific customer but to also anticipate the demands of the evolving industries we serve.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

China

India

Italy

Japan

Spain

Austria

Belgium

Czechia

Brazil

France

Mexico

Norway

Poland

Ireland

Morocco

Romania

- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Thailand
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Republic of Korea
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

TE Connectivity sees opportunity in the changes in product design, materials used and energy use that will be driven by regulatory changes intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. As our customers continue to redesign products and introduce new products, TE – as a supplier of custom-engineered components to enable those products – will benefit. TE has always worked, and will continue to work with our customers in the energy, lighting, wind, automotive, computer, consumer electronics, communications, appliance and other industries to develop smaller, faster, smarter, lighter, and more energy efficient products, of which TE components are an important part. This opportunity exists in our Appliances; Data and Devices; Aerospace, Defense, and Marine; Energy; Industrial; Automotive; Industrial and Commercial Transportation; and Sensors business units.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increase in sales and revenue.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Costs are included in the normal overhead costs for the engineering, R&D, and sales organizations.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

New product innovation and engagement with customers. See response to Opportunity 1 above.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

- Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Zealand |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Taiwan, China |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Republic of Korea | |

- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We have an internal program to measure, report, and drive reduced energy usage in our buildings, processes, and supporting infrastructure.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduced energy cost.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

We have an internal program to measure, report, and drive reduced energy usage in our buildings, processes, and supporting infrastructure. See Opp 1.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

Shift in consumer preferences

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Austria
- Belgium
- Czechia
- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Thailand
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Republic of Korea
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Brazil
- France
- Mexico
- Norway
- Poland
- Ireland
- Morocco
- Romania
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- Unknown

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

TE has identified opportunities to capture changing customer preference with our catalog of energy-smart products. These are stand alone products and component offerings.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increase sales and revenue.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure/

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Marketing team, R&D and manufacturing partnering to create and market our full catalog of energy/eco smart products.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp5

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

Use of renewable energy sources

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

China

India

Italy

Japan

Brazil

France

Mexico

Norway

- Spain
- Austria
- Belgium
- Czechia
- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Netherlands
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- Poland
- Ireland
- Morocco
- Romania
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

TE has identified the potential of purchasing renewable energy as available and within business model.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduction in non-renewable energy consumption

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

TE has assessed currently available renewable energy markets and cost associate with the purchase. There has been a commitment from executive leadership to continue purchasing renewable energy that fits the business model.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp6

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

- Move to more energy/resource efficient buildings

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Germany | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Malaysia |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hungary | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Portugal |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Slovakia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Netherlands |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thailand | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New Zealand |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Australia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Philippines |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Singapore | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Switzerland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Costa Rica | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Taiwan, China |

- Republic of Korea
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

There is an opportunity to build and remodel TE facilities to be more energy efficient.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduce the spend on energy and depletion of resources.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

TE has create a "Facilities Playbook" that outline how new and remodel site will design their buildings. Also, we have implemented Sustainability Operating Standards to measure the site's compliance and perform energy treasure hunts.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

Opp7

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

Use of more efficient modes of transport

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- China
- India
- Italy
- Japan
- Spain
- Austria
- Belgium
- Czechia
- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Thailand
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Republic of Korea
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
- Brazil
- France
- Mexico
- Norway
- Poland
- Ireland
- Morocco
- Romania
- Malaysia
- Portugal
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

TE recognizes the opportunity to evaluate the means by which we ship our products to the customer and distribution centers.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Short-term
- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduce the spend on shipments as well as reduce our carbon footprint.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

TE is actively re-evaluating how we ship items throughout the world as well as how we strategically manufacture our products closer to the end customer.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- Opp9

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

- Improved supply chain engagement

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> China | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brazil |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> India | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> France |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Italy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mexico |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Japan | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Norway |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Spain | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Poland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Austria | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ireland |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Belgium | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Morocco |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Czechia | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Romania |

- Germany
- Hungary
- Slovakia
- Australia
- Singapore
- Costa Rica
- Netherlands
- Hong Kong SAR, China
- United States of America
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

- Malaysia
- Portugal
- New Zealand
- Philippines
- Switzerland
- Taiwan, China
- Republic of Korea

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

There is an opportunity to improve our supply chain engagement to expand from single source suppliers. This allows for more price competition as we move to more "green" components.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- Medium-term
- Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Reduced spend on "green" raw materials/components.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

No

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Do not have this figure.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

*TE is increasing its supplier engagement to increase its diversity in pricing and social aspects.
[Add row]*

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

CAPEX

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

*We do not have this figure yet. We are in the process of building a system to capture this data.
[Add row]*

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

Executive directors or equivalent

Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The inclusion of diversity in all levels of the global company.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

2024 TE Connectivity Proxy Statement.docx,board-governance-principles.pdf

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	Materiality studies were conducted and the aspect was determined to be non-material to TE operations.

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board’s oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- Board chair
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions’ accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

Scheduled agenda item in every board meeting (standing agenda item)

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The board member s are provided with an update on the progress against sustainability goals for the current period. In addition, they are provided the proposed goals to approve, modify or reject.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Board chair

Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

Individual role descriptions

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The board members are provided with an update on the progress against sustainability goals for the current period. In addition, they are provided the proposed goals to approve, modify or reject.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from:	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant or not relevant	Not determined to be material.

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

Other

- Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The COO reports to the full board and environmental sub-committee(s) of the progress on environmental/sustainability matters & metrics.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- Managing value chain engagement related to environmental issues

Policies, commitments, and targets

- Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

Other

- Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The COO reports to the full board and environmental sub-committee(s) of the progress on environmental/sustainability matters & metrics.
[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

	Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue	% of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue	Please explain
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	20	Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets. It is part of the formula making up 20% as the whole.
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	20	Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets. It is part of the formula making up 20% as the whole.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

Engagement

- Increased engagement with suppliers on environmental issues

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Senior-mid management

- Environment/Sustainability manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets

- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index
- Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Facility/Unit/Site management

- Business unit manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Facility/Unit/Site management

- Site manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Emission reduction

- Implementation of an emissions reduction initiative
- Reduction in emissions intensity
- Increased share of renewable energy in total energy consumption
- Reduction in absolute emissions

Resource use and efficiency

- Energy efficiency improvement
- Reduction in total energy consumption

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Facility/Unit/Site management

- Business unit manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

Water

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Facility/Unit/Site management

- Site manager

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- Progress towards environmental targets
- Achievement of environmental targets
- Organization performance against an environmental sustainability index

Resource use and efficiency

- Reduction of water withdrawals – direct operations

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- Both Short-Term and Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

Factored into the overall performance package of meeting annual metrics targets.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Financially motivates leaders to improve their environmental metrics and impacts them directly if they do not.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- Direct operations
- Upstream value chain
- Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

TE's policies set out the requirements for internal management of environmental issues. We have separate policies driven by procurement which outlines the requirements of suppliers and vendors.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- Commitment to avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species
- Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas
- Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Climate-specific commitments

- Other climate-related commitment, please specify :Product-related sustainability requirements (TE Product Carbon Footprint Policy)

Water-specific commitments

- Commitment to reduce or phase out hazardous substances
- Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution
- Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes

Social commitments

- Commitment to promote gender equality and women's empowerment
- Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

Additional references/Descriptions

- Description of impacts on natural resources and ecosystems
- Description of environmental requirements for procurement
- Description of grievance/whistleblower mechanism to monitor non-compliance with the environmental policy and raise/address/escalate any other greenwashing concerns
- Description of renewable electricity procurement practices

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

TEConnectivityCorporateResponsibilityReport2023.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

- Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

SBTi - In FY23, TE committed and set goals to achieve 1.5 degree C for Scope 1&2 and 2 degree C for Scope 3. Since 2020, we have been aligning our internal processes and reporting against the recommendations of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) and continue to assess and enhance our policies, processes and reporting to further meet TCFD recommendations.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

- Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

- No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

- Unknown

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

*TE does not make political contributions anywhere using corporate funds, though TE does use corporate funds and resources to support the administration of the TE Connectivity Inc. PAC (TEPAC) as permitted by law. We publicly disclose our contributions through FEC and disclose them through DJSI.
[Fixed row]*

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

North America

US Chamber of Commerce

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Varies

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

No, we have not evaluated

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Value chain engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public policy engagement |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Content of environmental policies |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

All

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

TEConnectivityCorporateResponsibilityReport2023.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Also, listed in SBTi's "Companies taking action" <https://sciencebasedtargets.org/companies-taking-action/>

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In other regulatory filings

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Strategy
- Governance
- Emission targets
- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

6-7

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2023 10-K.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

10K Filing

Row 3

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Forests
- Water
- Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- Strategy
- Governance
- Emission targets
- Value chain engagement
- Dependencies & Impacts
- Biodiversity indicators

- Emissions figures
- Risks & Opportunities
- Content of environmental policies

- Public policy engagement
- Water accounting figures

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

All

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

DJSI CSA 2024 - TE Connectivity Ltd.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

DJSI Report
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Every three years or less frequently

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

Every three years or less frequently

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

- Customized publicly available climate transition scenario, please specify :SBTi

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Chronic physical
- Policy
- Reputation

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

2030

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We anticipate that this engagement will drive the needed reductions from our supply chain.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

The majority of TE's impact is related to Scope 3. As a result, TE has joined alignment with SBTi to introduce a reduction plan which addresses/outlines a clear path to the reduction goal.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

Quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

Other, please specify :Top 30 water withdraw sites within Extremely high/High water stressed regions as defined by WRI.

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- Acute physical
- Chronic physical
- Reputation

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2021

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- 2025

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We anticipate continued reductions within our top 30 sites as well as extending the initiative to the Enterprise.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

*This target has focused TE on where it has the greatest impact even though we are not a water intensive manufacturing operation.
[Add row]*

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Strategy and financial planning
- Capacity building
- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The analysis has shown that TE can and is making reduction within our supply chain which accounts for 90% of our GHGs.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- Other, please specify :Top 30 water withdraw sites within Extremely high/High water stressed regions as defined by WRI.

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the outcomes of the scenario analysis and any implications for other environmental issues

The analysis has confirmed that there has been successful reduction measure within our top sites and that we have the potential for incremental reductions within our entire footprint.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

This has been deemed not material through our materiality assessment process.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

Our climate transition plan is voted on at Annual General Meetings (AGMs)

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

The key assumptions lay within supply chain reductions as they comprise 90% of TE's emissions.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

This is the first year that we have committed and have been approved by SBTi. We will not have a comparison until next year.

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

TE SBT-Commitment-Letter 2022-final.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

No other environmental issue considered

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

Products and services

Upstream/downstream value chain

Investment in R&D

Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Opportunities to product products with a lower carbon footprint to improve emissions from direct operations.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The risk has required TE to address areas of supply chain for materials that are more eco-friendly. We have also had to address single source suppliers to broaden our options for the availability of more desirable materials.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

There has been an opportunity for our R&D/product development group to design more eco-friendly products that will be a low emission component of our customer's final products.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

There has been opportunities identified that will allow TE to invest in renewable energy to reduce our footprint and facility CAPEX investments.
[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- Revenues
- Direct costs
- Indirect costs
- Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- Risks
- Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

As a risk impact, TE has a higher supply chain cost for "greener" materials. For opportunities, TE has had a financial direct, indirect impact for the investments in our purchase of renewables, facility improvements and R&D efforts in product development. As a result, we have benefited from gained revenue when selling the more eco-friendly components.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.

	Financial metric
Row 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CAPEX

[Add row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Currently, we have not captured this data. However, water initiatives have been added to the engineering project tracker system which will capture the future CAPEX projects for reporting. This is still in the implementation phase.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

No, but we plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

No standardized procedure

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

We considered applying a shadow carbon price on the raw material we purchased. We found it to be an ineffective mechanism at this time. We do not price environmental externalities for several reasons:

- Lack of Legal or Regulatory Requirement: In many jurisdictions, there is no legal obligation for companies to account for the environmental costs of actions, such as pollution or resource depletion. Without regulations that force businesses to internalize these costs, they often do not voluntarily price them into their goods or services*
- Difficulty in Quantifying Externalities: Environmental externalities, such as GHG pollution or biodiversity loss, can be challenging to measure and quantify accurately. This uncertainty makes it difficult to integrate these costs into pricing models, especially for complex or*

global supply chains. The price of carbon on global Emissions Trading Systems (ETS) vary a lot. As a global manufacturer, with a complex supply chain, we have not found that pricing of environmental externalities is yet established. • Lack of customer Demand for Sustainable Practices**: In some cases, our customer may not prioritize sustainability or be unwilling to pay a premium for environmentally friendly products. Given the insufficient market demand or unwillingness to pay for sustainable products, we have not seen a financial rationale to internalize environmental costs.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered
Suppliers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Customers	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Investors and shareholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water
Other value chain stakeholders	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

- Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions
- Other, please specify :Supplier's direct (Scope 1) and energy-indirect (Scope 2) emissions and renewable electricity usage.

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies or impacts on the environment requires establishing clear thresholds based on their environmental footprint. This could involve evaluating a supplier's contributions to key environmental factors such as GHG emissions, water usage, waste production, and energy consumption. Suppliers whose environmental impacts exceed a set percentage of total emissions are classified as having significant impact.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

Impact on water availability

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

51-75%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

TE Connectivity's largest suppliers do not operate in industrial sectors that require large amounts of water usage nor in areas of the world that are highly sensitive to water availability. We continuously assess our suppliers' dependency on water resources and have not found this to be a high impact area.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

Unknown

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
- Procurement spend
- Strategic status of suppliers

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

A prioritization strategy for engaging specific suppliers on environmental issues should focus on three key criteria: procurement spend, the strategic importance of the supplier, and their environmental dependencies or impacts related to climate change. First, suppliers with the largest share of procurement spend often represent the most significant opportunities for impact, as they are integral to the supply chain and may control substantial environmental resources. We cover 66% of total spending. Second, strategically important suppliers—those that provide critical components or services essential to a company's operations—are prioritized because disruptions or environmental risks in these areas could jeopardize business continuity. Those are the ones that are classified as 'strategic' according to TE Supplier classification system. Finally, suppliers with substantive dependencies on resources or high environmental impacts, particularly relating to GHG emissions, energy use, or resource extraction, are prioritized. These suppliers have the most significant potential to influence a company's overall environmental performance. By focusing on these factors, we engage with the most impactful suppliers and drive meaningful sustainability improvements throughout the supply chain.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- No, we do not prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.3) Primary reason for no supplier prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We chose not to prioritize suppliers based on water usage because the operations and supply chain of our suppliers are not located in water-scarce regions or when water use is not a significant environmental concern for the specific industry. For instance, in the manufacturing sector water is not a critical input, prioritizing suppliers based on water risk may not yield meaningful environmental benefits. Additionally, TE's primary environmental concerns are more closely tied to carbon emissions, energy consumption, or material use, focusing on water availability might divert attention from more pressing sustainability issues like climate change mitigation. Moreover, several of our suppliers operate in regions where water is abundant and sustainable management practices are already in place, engaging them on water availability offered limited returns compared to efforts focused on emissions reductions or resource efficiency improvements. This strategic decision allows us to allocate resources to the areas where they can have the most significant environmental impact.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

No, but we plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

At present, TE Connectivity requires suppliers to disclose greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions scope 1 and scope 2 annually. However, we do not yet give purchasing decisions based on specific climate change standards as part of our procurement process. However, this is set to change as we are actively planning to introduce disclosure and emission reduction requirements within the next two years. These new criteria will focus on addressing climate change, ensuring that our suppliers align with our sustainability goals. This shift aims to not only reduce the carbon footprint of our supply chain but also to encourage our partners to adopt more environmentally responsible practices. By introducing these requirements, we expect to foster greater accountability and collaboration in addressing the urgent global challenges of climate change.

Water

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

- No, and we do not plan to introduce environmental requirements related to this environmental issue within the next two years

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

- No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Currently, our organization does not plan to implement a procurement policy focused on water conservation efforts by our suppliers. While we recognize the importance of water conservation as part of broader sustainability goals, our primary focus remains on addressing climate change in the near term. Although water use efficiency is a critical issue in many industries, particularly those with high water demands, we do not anticipate incorporating this into our procurement criteria at this stage. Our industry and the value chain are not high-demand sectors in terms of water demand. Our efforts in sustainability will continue to evolve, but for now, we are prioritizing other environmental aspects within our supply chain.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- Develop or distribute resources on how to map upstream value chain
- Provide training, support and best practices on how to make credible renewable energy usage claims
- Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- Other capacity building activity, please specify

Information collection

- Collect GHG emissions data at least annually from suppliers
- Collect water quantity information at least annually from suppliers (e.g., withdrawal and discharge volumes)

Innovation and collaboration

- Collaborate with suppliers on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- Collaborate with suppliers on innovative business models and corporate renewable energy sourcing mechanisms

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

- 26-50%

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

TE Connectivity actively engages with suppliers in various projects, particularly those focused on training and capacity building. We believe that equipping our suppliers with the right skills and knowledge is key to fostering collaboration and driving continuous improvement across the supply chain. Through targeted training

initiatives, we aim to enhance our suppliers' understanding of sustainability practices, operational and material use efficiency, and compliance with emerging environmental standards. By investing in these educational programs, we not only support our suppliers in adapting to future demands but also ensure that they are better prepared to meet the evolving needs of our organization and industry. This engagement strengthens our partnerships and helps ensure alignment with our long-term goals. In this reporting year, we offered GHG Accounting training, Renewable Energy Procurement and Energy Use Efficiency and raw material (polymer) use efficiency training programs to our suppliers. Moreover, we transfer TE's knowledge on sustainability in regular business review meetings with strategic and key suppliers. The impact of our supplier engagement program, particularly around training initiatives, have been significant in driving both short- and long-term benefits for our supply chain. One of the most immediate impacts is the improvement in supplier performance and awareness, as training equips them with the knowledge to implement more efficient and sustainable practices. This often leads to cost reductions and enhanced operational capabilities, as suppliers become better prepared to meet quality and environmental standards. In the long term, the program fosters stronger relationships between our organization and suppliers, creating a more resilient and responsive supply chain. It helps to build trust and ensures that suppliers are aligned with our strategic objectives, particularly in areas such as sustainability and compliance. In this reporting year, we reached a high response rate to our annual supplier sustainability survey. Furthermore, by empowering suppliers with new skills, we enable them to innovate and adapt more quickly to changes in regulatory requirements or market demands, ensuring they remain competitive and capable of supporting our growth. Ultimately, this engagement program helps to create a collaborative ecosystem where suppliers and our organization work together to achieve shared goals, promoting sustainability.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

No other supplier engagement

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our environmental engagement activities with customers focus on fostering collaboration and mutual responsibility in achieving sustainability goals. We regularly organize to communicate with our customers about the environmental impact of the products and services we offer. These initiatives aim to raise awareness and equip customers with the knowledge to make more sustainable choices in their procurement processes. Additionally, we engage in open dialogue to understand their sustainability priorities and explore areas where we can align our efforts, particularly in reducing carbon footprints and waste. To evaluate the effectiveness of these activities, we track customer feedback and measure key performance indicators such as participation rates, response to customer requests, and the implementation of sustainability practices as a result of the engagement. Regular follow-ups allow us to refine the content and approach of these activities, ensuring they remain relevant and impactful for our customers. Through these ongoing efforts, we aim to strengthen our partnerships and support a shared commitment to environmental responsibility.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The impact of our sustainability engagement activities with customers has been far-reaching, fostering a deeper alignment between their sustainability objectives and our offerings. One key outcome is the increased adoption of eco-friendly practices by customers, as they implement the knowledge gained from our workshops and seminars in their own operations. This not only reduces the environmental footprint of their supply chains but also strengthens their market positioning as they meet growing consumer demand for sustainable products. Furthermore, these activities have enhanced customer loyalty and trust, as they see us not just as a supplier but

as a proactive partner in their sustainability journey. The collaborative nature of our engagement often leads to new joint initiatives, such as co-developing greener products or exploring innovative ways to reduce GHG emissions. By continuously evaluating and refining our approach, we ensure these activities generate tangible results, reinforcing our shared commitment to environmental responsibility and long-term business success.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Other

- Other, please specify

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We share on our water use metrics and progress on our public reporting, the One Connected World (<https://www.te.com/content/dam/te-com/documents/about-te/corporate-responsibility/global/TEConnectivityCorporateResponsibilityReport2023.pdf>). Although we are not a large user of water in our operations, we keep ourselves accountable to disclose such metrics with investors and shareholders on use of natural resources.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We have reduced water withdrawal by 11% at targeted water-stressed sites, by about 31 million gallons (117.3 million liters) from the baseline 2021. And we share this information publicly and disseminate within our value chain, including customers and other business partners. Ou

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services
- Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements
- Other education/information sharing, please specify

Innovation and collaboration

- Align your organization's goals to support customers' targets and ambitions
- Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services
- Engage with stakeholders to advocate for policy or regulatory change

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

- 51-75%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

- Unknown

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We share on our sustainability activities and progress on our public reporting, the One Connected World, annual disclosure document as well as in investor events (<https://investors.te.com/investor-home/default.aspx>). Engaging with investors and shareholders on environmental and sustainability matters is critical for several

reasons. First, it demonstrates TE Connectivity's commitment to long-term value creation, which increasingly includes not only financial performance but also environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors. Investors today are more attuned to the risks and opportunities posed by climate change and sustainability trends, and they often prioritize companies that actively address these issues in their strategies. By engaging with shareholders, we ensure transparency and provide insights into how our environmental initiatives contribute to resilience, innovation, and compliance with future regulations. Moreover, such engagement helps in aligning investor expectations with the company's sustainability goals, ensuring that capital investments are directed toward projects that reduce environmental impact or improve efficiency. This is particularly important as more investors seek out companies that are well-positioned to thrive in a low-carbon economy.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The impact of engaging with investors and shareholders on environmental matters has been impactful for TE Connectivity. One major outcome is increased investor confidence, as shareholders gain a clearer understanding of TE's environmental strategies align with long-term financial growth and risk management. By communicating how environmental practices reduce operational costs, mitigate climate-related risks, and open new market opportunities, companies build stronger relationships with their investors, who are increasingly focused on ESG factors. Moreover, ongoing communication with shareholders on sustainability topics has led to more robust corporate governance. Shareholders may push for more ambitious environmental targets or advocate for better transparency and reporting practices, which elevate the TE's overall ESG performance. TE Connectivity is a low ESG risk company according to third-party ESG evaluators such as Morningstar/Sustainalytics (<https://www.sustainalytics.com/esg-rating/te-connectivity-ltd/1015984769>), Yahoo finance (<https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/TEL/sustainability/>) and Ecovadis.

[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to supplier operations

- Increase proportion of renewable energy purchased

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Increase renewable purchases in countries with availability.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

- Reduction of own operational emissions (own scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

- No

(5.12.11) Please explain

TE has committed to expanding/increasing our investments in renewable energies.

Row 2

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

- Climate change

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Innovation

- New product or service that reduces customers' products/services operational emissions

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

Partner to continue to improve the electric vehicle market.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

- Reduction of customers' operational emissions (customer scope 1 & 2)
- Reduction of downstream value chain emissions (own scope 3)

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

- No

(5.12.11) Please explain

Currently evaluating opportunities for the EV markets
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement	Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives	Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, but we plan to within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)	<i>Resources and currently not deemed material through our materiality assessments.</i>

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Allows TE to take a holistic approach on the business that we have control of their "day to day" business. This gives us the advantage to directly implement policies and improvement to our operations.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Allows TE to take a holistic approach on the business that we have control of their "day to day" business. This gives us the advantage to directly implement policies and improvement to our operations.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

- Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Allows TE to take a holistic approach on the business that we have control of their "day to day" business. This gives us the advantage to directly implement policies and improvement to our operations.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

- Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Allows TE to take a holistic approach on the business that we have control of their "day to day" business. This gives us the advantage to directly implement policies and improvement to our operations.

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

FY2021 was the first year that TE reported Market Based emissions and the baseline year (FY2020) was recalculated to reflect the market-based approach.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

- No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/25/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

59936

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Mobile: US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Center for Corporate Climate Leadership, GHG Emission Factors Hub April 2021 AR5 Global Warming Potentials "GWP", 100-year average applied from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change "IPCC" National GHG Inventory Guidance 2014 Fifth Assessment Report, collectively "IPCC AR5 GWP". Stationary: EPA Center for Corporate Climate Leadership, GHG Emission Factors Hub April 2021 IPCC AR5 GWPs.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/25/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

412837.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database - 2024 eGRID GHG emission rates. 2022 factors International Energy Agency (IEA), (2023) Emission Factors: 2021 factors used. AR4 GWP, 100-year average applied from the IPCC National GHG Inventory Guidance 2007 Fourth Assessment Report

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/25/2020

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

456850.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

When calculating market-based emissions, a zero-emission factor is used if renewable energy contracts meet the GHG Scope 2 market-based criteria. Otherwise, we consider the next available emissions factors per the market-based emission factors hierarchy. Available CO2 residual mix factors were used as indicated below, however, residual mix factors for CH4 and N2O are not available, hence, location-based factors were applied for these emissions. For countries with no residual mix factors available, location-based factors were used. We purchased Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) and prioritize high-quality certifications of verified renewables, such as Guarantees of Origin (GOs) and International Renewable Energy Certificates (I-RECs) that meet the Quality Criteria outlined in the GHG Protocol. These contracts related to the purchase of wind, solar, and hydro energy. Residual Mix Emission Factors: Association of Issuing Bodies (AIB) 2022 Residual Mix Factors 2023 Green-e Residual Mix Emissions Rates (2021 Data) Other zero-emission factor contracts: Additionally, in the United States, we contracted nuclear energy and utilized a supplier-specific zero emission factor. For these purchases, we received an EFEC (Emission Free Energy Certificate) produced by PJM EIS GATS (PJM Environmental Information Services Generation Attribute Tracking System)

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2875031.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based methodology

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

202550.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based methodology

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

75737.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based methodology

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

175509

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Spend based methodology

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17729

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated using waste disposal numbers

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

71581.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculation provided directly by TE's corporate travel business partner American Express Travel.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

125769

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculated using numbers of employees and % working remotely

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions included in Category 4

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

75272

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Calculations performed on the products that consume electricity in 2022. Power range, production during, total electricity life cycle and EFs were used for the calculations.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7920

(7.5.3) Methodological details

TE estimated the emissions from the waste disposal and treatment of products sold at the end of their life

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

66204

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Revenue data was used to perform the calculations

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2022

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable to TE operations
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

	Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	End date	Methodological details
Reporting year	44750	Date input [must be between [10/01/2015 - 10/01/2023]	See response 7.5
Past year 1	58013	09/30/2022	See response 7.5
Past year 2	71479	09/24/2021	See response 7.5

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

441002

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

101363

(7.7.4) Methodological details

See response to 7.5

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

466063

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

179879

(7.7.3) End date

09/30/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

See response to 7.5

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

460536

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e) (if applicable)

289859

(7.7.3) End date

09/24/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

See response to 7.5
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2879641

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Hybrid method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

15

(7.8.5) Please explain

N/A

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

100169

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

57141

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

156761

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not material (

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

10531

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not material (

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable to TE operations

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Included in Cat 4

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable to TE operations

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not material (

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not material (

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable to TE operations

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Not applicable to TE operations

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not material (

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

09/30/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

2875031

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

202550

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

75737

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

171581

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

16137

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

*Not all categories we tracked/calculated in previous year
[Fixed row]*

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 3	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No third-party verification or assurance

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

FY23 Stmt GHG Energy Water Withdrawal TE 3.8.2024 Final.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

All

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

FY23 Stmt GHG Energy Water Withdrawal TE 3.8.2024 Final.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

All

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

FY23 Stmt GHG Energy Water Withdrawal TE 3.8.2024 Final.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

All

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

78723

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

43.6

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Increased investment in renewable energy (Market-based). Comparing to FY22.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13326

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

22.9

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Focus on improved site efficiency and investments (Location-based). Comparing to FY22.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Slight decrease (less than 0.02%) due to the divestment of 3 locations. Comparing to FY22.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

279

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Slight increase (less than 0.5%) due to the addition of 5 locations. Comparing to FY22.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

NA

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

Market-based

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

29754

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

24

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

30

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

SF6

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

13507

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1435

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

138

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

138

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

130

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1635

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

535

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2491

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1478

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1901

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

58

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6906

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

159568

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

215

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3110

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27786

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10215

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1286

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2325

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3365

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6090

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

42626

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2808

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

37

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

320

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5497

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

460

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

567

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8457

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3654

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2817

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4234

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

387

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4808

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

20

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15171

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

15171

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1237

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

868

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2134

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

51347

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

617

Morocco

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11896

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11896

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

263

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

292

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Norway

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

177

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2018

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2018

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1026

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

8215

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10874

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

101

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4497

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

989

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

20149

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

20149

Romania

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

110

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

434

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

441

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

Slovakia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

41

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

199

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

199

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

286

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

525

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

216

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

84

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

84

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

146

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

146

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6713

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1018

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2191

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4617

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8221

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16608

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

53549

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.*Select all that apply* By business division**(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.**

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Corporate/Other</i>	<i>1619</i>
Row 3	<i>Transportation Solutions Segment</i>	<i>15901</i>
Row 4	<i>Communications Solutions Segment</i>	<i>8548</i>
Row 5	<i>Industrial Solutions Segment</i>	<i>18683</i>

*[Add row]***(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.***Select all that apply* By business division**(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.**

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	<i>Corporate</i>	12373	3742
Row 2	<i>Communications Solutions Segment</i>	71396	4721
Row 3	<i>Transportation Solutions Segment</i>	254032	53248
Row 5	<i>Industrial Solutions Segment</i>	103201	39652

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

44750

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

441002

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

101363

(7.22.4) Please explain

Includes all entities/subsidiaries within scope/boundaries.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

There are no other entities.

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

4771868.59

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

13.32

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

4771868.59

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

131.25

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 3

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

4771868.59

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions*Electricity***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:* No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 4**(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:***(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:* Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

22558256.16

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

62.96

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

22558256.16

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

620.45

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

22558256.16

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

142.61

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 7

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1412888.18

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

3.9433

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1412888.18

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

38.8604

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 9

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1412888.18

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

8.9319

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 10

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2345625.25

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

6.5465

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2345625.25

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions*Electricity***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?***Select from:* No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 12**(7.26.1) Requesting member***Select from:***(7.26.2) Scope of emissions***Select from:* Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

2345625.25

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

14.8285

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

268741111.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

750.0415

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

268741111.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

7391.5036

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 15

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

268741111.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

1698.9151

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 16

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

81273

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

0.2268

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 17

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

81273

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

2.2354

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 18

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

81273

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

0.5138

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 19

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

830320

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

 No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 20**(7.26.1) Requesting member**

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

 Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

830320

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

22.8373

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 21

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

830320

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

5.2491

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 22

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

13867899.14

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

38.7045

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 23

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

13867899.14

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

381.4252

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 24

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

87.6695

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 25

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

66776802.07

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

186.3703

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 26

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

66776802.07

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

1836.6411

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 27

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

66776802.07

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 28

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

32254320.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

90.02

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 29

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

32254320.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

887.1286

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 30

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

32254320.1

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

203.9039

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 31

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

107.2031

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 32

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1056.4648

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 33

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

242.8253

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 34

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

107.2031

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 35

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 36

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

38411064.94

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

242.8253

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 37

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

52497299.51

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

146.517

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 38

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

52497299.51

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

1443.8951

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 39

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

52497299.51

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

331.875

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 40

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

809.4042

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 41

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

290010884.3

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

7976.5112

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 42

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

290010884.3

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

1833.3774

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 43

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

6940748.29

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 44

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

6940748.29

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

190.8996

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 45

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

6940748.29

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

43.8777

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 46

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1011857.87

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

2.824

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 47

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1011857.87

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

27.8303

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 48

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

1011857.87

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

6.3967

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 49

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

153300000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

427.8518

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Fuel

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 50

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

153300000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO₂e

4216.3906

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

Electricity

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

Row 51

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

Allocation based on the volume of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

153300000

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

(7.26.10) Uncertainty ($\pm\%$)

2

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions*Electricity***(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?**

Select from:

 No**(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made**

Data is from company financial databases and internal EHS reporting application, both used company wide. The allocation method assumes the customer GHG emissions allocation is directly correlated to purchases by the customer. The uncertainty percentage (2%) was based upon our estimate of company square footage within the reporting boundary for which energy and emissions data was not collected.

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

NA

*[Add row]***(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?****Row 1****(7.27.1) Allocation challenges**

Select from:

- Doing so would require we disclose business sensitive/proprietary information

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Unknown

Row 2

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Unknown

Row 3

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- Managing the different emission factors of diverse and numerous geographies makes calculating total footprint difficult

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Unknown

Row 4

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

- Customer base is too large and diverse to accurately track emissions to the customer level

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Unknown
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	TE is currently assessing software that could assist in expanding our reporting capabilities.

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from:

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

155635

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

155635

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

823687

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

246209

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1069896

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3106

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

14259

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

17365

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5012

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

5012

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

831805

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable and non-renewable) MWh

1247908

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.**Sustainable biomass**

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

722

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No additional comment

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

20962

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Residual Fuel Oil Diesel Petrol Ethane. Petrol Ethane is consumed by company fleet and accounts for the remaining balance.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

133951

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Natural Gas LPG

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

155635

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of cooling

0

(7.30.7.7) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

None
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

5012

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

5012

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

5012

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

5012

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

- United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

- Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

- Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

- Renewable energy mix, please specify :Mix of Wind, Solar, and Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

129300

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

- US-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

- United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Generating facility not known by TE.

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Thailand

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar and Large Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12093

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Thailand

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2016

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Commissioning date for Hydro plant is 2016. Commissioning date for Solar plant is 2014.

Row 3

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Portugal

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind, Solar, Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

29666

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Portugal

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2003

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the GoOs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 5

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Netherlands

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

934

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Netherlands

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Generating facility not known by TE.

Row 6

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Mexico

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind/Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

124398

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Mexico

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2015

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the I-RECs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most I-RECs from was entered.

Row 7

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar/Large Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

594

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Malaysia

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2018

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Solar plant commissioned in 2018. Hydro plant commissioned in 2009.

Row 8

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Italy

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind, Hydro, Thermal

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

17012

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2011

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the GoOs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 9

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

6701

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2007

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the I-RECs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most I-RECs from was entered.

Row 10

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Hungary

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

27242

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Italy

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2012

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the GoOs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered. There are also several generating countries. For completing this form, the country which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 11

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar/Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

 GO**(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute**

Select from:

 France**(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?**

Select from:

 Yes**(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)**

2007

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the GoOs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered. There are also several generating countries. For completing this form, the country which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 12**(7.30.14.1) Country/area**

Select from:

 Czechia**(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method**

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind, Hydro, Thermal

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

49315

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Italy

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Commission year not reported on certificates. There are also several generating countries. I've listed the country where most of the GoOs were sources from.

Row 13

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Small hydropower (<25 MW)

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

260391

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2008

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the I-RECs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 14

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Solar/Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

13733

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

I-REC

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Brazil

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Solar plant commissioned in 2019. Hydro plant commissioned in 1998.

Row 15

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Belgium

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Wind

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

18277

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Belgium

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Commission year not reported on certificates.

Row 16

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Austria

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind/Solar/Biomass/Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

12304

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Austria

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Commission year not reported.

Row 17

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

France

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Renewable energy mix, please specify :Wind/Solar/Hydro

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5162

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

GO

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

France

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2012

(7.30.14.10) Comment

There are several generating facilities that the GoOs have been sourced from. We can provide the commissioning year for each. For completing this form, the facility which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered. There are also several generating countries. For completing this form, the country which we sourced the most GoOs from was entered.

Row 18

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2192

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

India

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2018

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Consumption from an on-site installation owned by a third party.

Row 19

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Other, please specify :Electricity consumed from an owned on site installation.

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

116

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Germany

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2014

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Consumption from an on-site installation owned by TE Connectivity.

Row 20

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

2186

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

China

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2022

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Consumption from an on-site installation owned by a third party.

Row 21

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

Belgium

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

18277

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

Belgium

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

Yes

(7.30.14.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2009

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Consumption from an on-site installation owned by a third party.

[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

212

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

212.00

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12304

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12304.00

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

18277

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

518

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

18795.00

Brazil

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14167

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14167.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

260391

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2186

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

262577.00

Costa Rica

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8624

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8624.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

61610

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

6092

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

67702.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

23079

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

4212

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

27291.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

119124

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

116

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

3955

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

123195.00

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

58

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

58.00

Hungary

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

28675

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

28675.00

India

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

11801

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2192

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

13993.00

Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

8888

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

8888.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17012

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17012.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

32626

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

32626.00

Malaysia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1993

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1993.00

Mexico

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

125911

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

125911.00

Morocco

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

16506

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16506.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

934

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

934.00

Norway

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

351

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

351.00

Philippines

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2839

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2839.00

Poland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12624

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12624.00

Portugal

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

29666

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

29666.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

44042

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

44042.00

Romania

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1595

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1595.00

Singapore

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

78

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

78.00

Slovakia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

222

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

222.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

221

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

221.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1901

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1901.00

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3253

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3253.00

Taiwan, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

256

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

256.00

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14255

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14255.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

22379

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

22379.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

174020

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

174020.00
[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

9.1

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

146113

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

Other, please specify :Net sales in millions

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

16034

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

1

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.45.9) Please explain

Increased purchase of renewable energy

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

30.3

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

485752

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

Other, please specify :Net sales in million

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

- Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

1

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

- Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

- Change in physical operating conditions

(7.45.9) Please explain

Improved operating efficiencies
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

- Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

4492470

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Energy usage measured.

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Net sales in millions (16,034 USD)

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

1

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Improved operating efficiencies.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

TE Connectivity Ltd - Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

10/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 1
- Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- Location-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

09/25/2020

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

59936

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

412837

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

472773.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

13

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

87

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

97

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

09/27/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

70

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

141831.900

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

44750

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

441002

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

485752.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-3.92

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target covers sites within TE's operational control and within our defined boundaries.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

TE Connectivity, Ltd commits to reduce absolute 1&2 scopes GHG emissions 70% by 2030 from a 2020 base year.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Focus on facility and equipment investments.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

Yes

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

TE Connectivity Ltd - Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/25/2020

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

Scope 1

Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

09/25/2020

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

59936

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

456850

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

516786.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

97.0

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

97.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

97.0

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

09/27/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

70

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

155035.800

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

44750

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

101363

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

146113.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Target covers sites within TE's operational control and within our defined boundaries.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

TE Connectivity, Ltd commits to reduce absolute 1&2 scopes GHG emissions 70% by 2030 from a 2020 base year.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Continued investments in renewable energy.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

Yes

Row 3**(7.53.1.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

TE Connectivity Ltd - Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

10/01/2019

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- Methane (CH4)
- Nitrous oxide (N2O)
- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- Sulphur hexafluoride (SF6)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- Scope 3, Category 15 – Investments
- Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods
- Scope 3, Category 6 – Business travel
- Scope 3, Category 7 – Employee commuting
- Scope 3, Category 11 – Use of sold products (Scope 1 or 2)
- Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
- Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products
- Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
- Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

09/25/2020

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2875031.0

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

202550.0

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

75737.0

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

171581.0

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

17729

(7.53.1.19) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16137

(7.53.1.20) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

125769

(7.53.1.24) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

75272

(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7920

(7.53.1.28) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

66204

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3633930.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

3633930.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

86.0

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

6.0

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

2.0

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

5.0

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.40) Base year Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.41) Base year Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

3

(7.53.1.45) Base year Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

2

(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.53.1.49) Base year Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 15: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

1

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

100.0

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

97

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

09/24/2032

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

2543751.000

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

2875031

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

202550

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

75737

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

175509

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

17729

(7.53.1.64) Scope 3, Category 6: Business travel emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

16137

(7.53.1.65) Scope 3, Category 7: Employee commuting emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

125769

(7.53.1.69) Scope 3, Category 11: Use of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

75272

(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

(7.53.1.73) Scope 3, Category 15: Investments emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

66204

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3637858.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

3637858.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

 No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)**(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year**

-0.36

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

 New**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

Category(ies) • Purchased Goods & Services • Capital Goods • Fuel & Energy • Upstream Transportation & Distribution • Waste Generated in Operations • Business Travel • Employee Commuting • Downstream Transportation & Distribution (combined with Upstream Transportation) • End-of-life Treatment of Sold Products • Investments

TE has determined to exclude emissions for the following categories because they are negligible: • Waste Generated in Operations (0.5% of total scope 3) • Employee Commuting (0.6% of total scope 3) • End-of-life Treatment of Sold Products (0.2% of total scope 3) • Investments (1% of total scope 3)

TE has decided to focus its reduction efforts on Category 1, Purchased Goods & Services contributes to 86% of total scope 3 emissions, and 80% of total GHG inventory.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

TE Connectivity, Ltd commits to reduce absolute scope 3 GHG emissions 30% by 2032 from a 2022 base year.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Engagement with supply chain and internal reduction of resins/metals.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

Yes

[\[Add row\]](#)

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

No other climate-related targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Low 1

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

Electricity

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

Consumption

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e (only for rows marked *)
Under investigation	50	<i>`Numeric input</i>
To be implemented	90	9984
Implementation commenced	34	3233
Implemented	47	8251
Not to be implemented	2	<i>`Numeric input</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

- Compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

2506

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

568740

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

850645

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Implemented projects related to compressor efficiency, air leak remediation and air pressure reduction.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

511

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

232415

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Optimize run time of HVAC equipment based on building occupancy.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

- Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

797

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

959140

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2924609

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Implemented several projects converting to LED lighting from older less efficient lighting technologies.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- Scope 2 (location-based)
- Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

379122

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

250751

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- <1 year

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 11-15 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Several efficiency projects implemented related to process improvements. Areas of improvement include pump and motor replacements, optimized temperature requirements and reduced idle/run time for equipment.

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy generation

Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

3037

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

1275482

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in C0.4)

2864317

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

1-3 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- 21-30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Variety of solar projects. Examples include onsite rooftop solar, solar water heaters, solar energy concentrators for heating of plating tanks.
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

TE still has many opportunities to improve energy efficiency -- and otherwise reduce GHG emissions -- that provide savings greater than the investment required within a relatively short (0 - 2 year) timeframe.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Other :Training on accounting for energy projects

(7.55.3.2) Comment

We provided training to employees on how to properly account for all costs related to energy efficiency improvements, including the costs of not making improvements, so that true costs were considered in project financial models.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

- Internal incentives/recognition programs

(7.55.3.2) Comment

TE regularly reports progress against our GHG reduction goals, at TE enterprise level, business level and site level; successes are recognized as part of regular operational reviews, in company-wide publications, and through awards and showcase programs as part of global operations leadership meetings.

[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

- No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

- Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

- Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

- No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Other

- Hybrid flexible demand and battery network

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

TE is supporting our customers in the transportation, aerospace, energy, and other markets by providing essential components for lower emission vehicles, electric and hybrid vehicles, lighter weight (and therefore more fuel efficient) vehicles and aircraft, and components for alternative energy, energy distribution, and other energy-efficiency applications.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

- No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 2

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

- Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

Other, please specify :Components

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

TE is supporting our customers in the transportation, aerospace, energy, and other markets by providing essential components for lower emission vehicles, electric and hybrid vehicles, lighter weight (and therefore more fuel efficient) vehicles and aircraft, and components for alternative energy, energy distribution, and other energy-efficiency applications.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 3

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Buildings construction and renovation

Modular components

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

TE is supporting our customers in the transportation, aerospace, energy, and other markets by providing essential components for lower emission vehicles, electric and hybrid vehicles, lighter weight (and therefore more fuel efficient) vehicles and aircraft, and components for alternative energy, energy distribution, and other energy-efficiency applications.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 4

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Road

- Lithium-ion batteries

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

TE is supporting our customers in the transportation, aerospace, energy, and other markets by providing essential components for lower emission vehicles, electric and hybrid vehicles, lighter weight (and therefore more fuel efficient) vehicles and aircraft, and components for alternative energy, energy distribution, and other energy-efficiency applications.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

- No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 5

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

- Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

- No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Buildings construction and renovation

- Modular components

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

The Cluster Block Connector from the Appliances business unit is used for current transmission inside and outside a HVAC compressor. By leveraging the design for sustainability tools such as: design and manufacturing process optimization, bio-based materials application (PA10T, castor beam content), material usage and waste reduction (generative design, lightweight) were utilized. We were able to achieve 45% reduction of carbon footprint in production, use and disposal for this new generation solution and it provides robust performance compared with legacy products. The innovation not only enhances connection safety, but also boosts productivity from the customer's perspective. The opportunity is projected to generate 5 million in revenue over the next five years.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

Row 6

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

Group of products or services

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

No taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low carbon

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Buildings construction and renovation

Modular components

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

GreenSilver was developed as an environmentally friendlier variant of our standard galvanic terminals for our lead customer VW. The product is already in production and currently in ramp-up. Key benefits are: • -99% water usage • -35% CO2 reduction • -35% reduced energy usage • also having better performance parameters (such as higher vibration resistance, lower mating forces and better temperature stability).

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

No

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization canceled any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

No

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

Facilities

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

Shared premises

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meters and/or the water bills

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurement are collected from actual meters at the site and/or the water bills provided by the utility company

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meters and/or the water bills

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurement are collected from actual meters at the site and/or the water bills provided by the utility company

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not Monitored

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meters and/or the water bills

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurement are collected from actual meters at the site and/or the water bills provided by the utility company

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not Monitored

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not Monitored

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :Varies depending on the permit requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Site sampling

(9.2.4) Please explain

Site sample/analyze in accordance with their permit where applicable.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :Varies depending on the permit requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Site sampling

(9.2.4) Please explain

Site sample/analyze in accordance with their permit where applicable.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Other, please specify :Varies depending on the permit requirements.

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Site sampling

(9.2.4) Please explain

Site sample/analyze in accordance with their permit where applicable.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not Monitored

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meters and/or the water bills

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurement are collected from actual meters at the site and/or the water bills provided by the utility company

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

Not monitored

(9.2.4) Please explain

Not Monitored

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2498

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Although TE is not a water intensive manufacturer, we have committed to continued investments in water reduction technologies and process improvements.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

2163

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

- Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Although TE is not a water intensive manufacturer, we have committed to continued investments in water reduction technologies and process improvements.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

335

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Although TE is not a water intensive manufacturer, we have committed to continued investments in water reduction technologies and process improvements.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

1073

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

*TE uses the WRI Aqueduct tool to identify water stressed sites for targeted campaigns to reduce water withdraw.
[Fixed row]*

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

No withdraws from source type.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

No withdraws from source type.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

302

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Increased focus on process optimization and installation of additional metering.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Included within renewable. Not traced independently.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

TE does not produce water.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

2195

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Increased focus on water smart technologies installation of additional metering.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization’s emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

	Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)	Categories of substances included	Please explain
	0	Select all that apply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nitrates <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Phosphates	This is not measured at an Enterprise level. It is only measured by individual sites as required by their discharge permits.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

- Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

30

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

1-25

(9.3.4) Please explain

TE has identified its top 30 sites with large water withdraws in Extremely High/High water stressed regions.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

(9.3.4) Please explain

TE and its raw materials are not deemed to be water intensive for production.

[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

750 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Thames

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

31.14

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

A46 ENG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

E47 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.88

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

G38 ENG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Bravo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

16.51

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

J54 MED

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

9.16

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

J92 IND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

Tejo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.71

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

K24 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Colorado River (Pacific Ocean)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

K29 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

France

Seine

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

18.29

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 9

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 9

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L23 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

28.37

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L30 IND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 11

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 11

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L47 IND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

33.35

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 12

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 12

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

N43 AER

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

Cauvery River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

8.21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 13

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 13

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

RA1 ENG

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Cape Fear River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 14

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 14

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

612 DND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Huang He (Yellow River)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

74.69

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 15

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 15

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

875 DND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

6.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 16

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 16

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

E96 APL

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Huang He (Yellow River)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 17

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 17

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

F74 DND

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

Cauvery River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

1.63

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 18

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 18

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

RN2 APL

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

11.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 19

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 19

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

253 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Cape Fear River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 20

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 20

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

713 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Portugal

Tejo

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

76.8

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Much higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 21

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 21

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

E40 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

20.11

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 22

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 22

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

E41 ICT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 23

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 23

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

F47 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

122.88

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 24

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 24

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

H41 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

India

Krishna

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

26.53

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 25

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 25

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

J53 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 26

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 26

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L03 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Thailand

Chao Phraya

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

34.97

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 27

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 27

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L30 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Mexico

Yaqui

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19.69

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 28

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 28

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

L74 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

Sebou

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 29

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 29

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

N24 AUT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

China

Yangtze River (Chang Jiang)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

15.86

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 30

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 30

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

N61 ICT

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Morocco

Sebou

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

12.87

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 31

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

Facility 31

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

S14 SEN

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

Cape Fear River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

- About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

Row 32

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

- Facility 32

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

S81 SEN

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

- Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- Impacts

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- Yes, withdrawals only

(9.3.1.6) Reason for no withdrawals and/or discharges

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Germany

Elbe River

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

0

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

0

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

42.2

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Water discharge was not a component of this specific goal/target
[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Third Party Verification. GRI Disclosure 303-3

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

76-100

(9.3.2.2) Verification standard used

Third Party Verification. GRI Disclosure 303-3

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Determined not material through our materiality assessment process.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Methodology: GRI Disclosure 303-4

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Methodology: GRI Disclosure 303-4

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Determined not material through our materiality assessment process.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Determined not material through our materiality assessment process.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Not currently verified.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

We do not have this data and have no intentions to collect it

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

	Revenue (currency)	Total water withdrawal efficiency	Anticipated forward trend
	16034000000	6418734.99	<i>Anticipate a decrease in total water consumption.</i>

[Fixed row]

(9.12) Provide any available water intensity values for your organization's products or services.

Row 1

(9.12.1) Product name

Not calculated at this time for products/services.

(9.12.2) Water intensity value

0

(9.12.3) Numerator: Water aspect

Select from:

Other, please specify :Not calculated

(9.12.4) Denominator

Not calculated

(9.12.5) Comment

Not calculated

[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

Other, please specify :IEC 62474

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

21-40

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

For FY23, we again used the approach to search our systems for all TE PNs (Part Numbers) that fulfill one or more of these criteria: 1) RoHS – do not comply or make use of an exemption 2) REACH – contain and SVHC about 0.1% 3) Do not comply with TE Low Halogen requirements – meaning that the PN contains Cl or Br above 900 ppm We then took sum of FY23 sales from all these parts that are fulfill 1), 2) or 3) and divided by total TE sales in FY23.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact	Please explain
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Judged to be unimportant, explanation provided	<i>We are primarily a supplier of electrical connectivity products. While there may be some opportunities, these are not expected to be significant.</i>

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

Yes

(9.15.1) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

	Target set in this category	Please explain
Water pollution	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>Currently not deemed material after conducting an Enterprise-wide materiality assessment.</i>
Water withdrawals	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Rich text input [must be under 1000 characters]</i>
Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services	Select from:	<i>Currently not deemed material after conducting an Enterprise-wide materiality assessment.</i>

	Target set in this category	Please explain
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	
Other	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Currently not deemed material after conducting an Enterprise-wide materiality assessment.

[Fixed row]

(9.15.2) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

Target 1

(9.15.2.2) Target coverage

Select from:

Other, please specify :Targeted sites in Extremely High & High Water Stressed Regions

(9.15.2.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

Other water withdrawals, please specify :Water withdraw reductions from top 30 sites in extremely high/high stress areas

(9.15.2.4) Date target was set

10/01/2021

(9.15.2.5) End date of base year

09/30/2021

(9.15.2.6) Base year figure

277839

(9.15.2.7) End date of target year

09/26/2025

(9.15.2.8) Target year figure

236163

(9.15.2.9) Reporting year figure

246627

(9.15.2.10) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

Underway

(9.15.2.11) % of target achieved relative to base year

75

(9.15.2.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

None, alignment not assessed

(9.15.2.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Covers only TE's top 30 water withdraw sites located in Extremely high/high water stress regions as identified by the aqueduct tool (WRI). Totals are reported in 1000's of gallons.

(9.15.2.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Continue the focus on water optimization project for current operations, investing in water-smart new equipment and water focused kaizens.

(9.15.2.16) Further details of target

None

[Add row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

Yes

(10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic goods/products

- Reduce the total weight of plastics in our goods/products
- Increase the proportion of plastic goods/products which are reusable
- Reduce the total weight of virgin content in plastic goods/products
- Increase the proportion of renewable content from responsibly managed sources in plastic goods/products

(10.1.3) Please explain

Integrated into TE's Scope 3 reduction commitment, targets have been set to reduce and/or reuse resins within our products. TE has also began sourcing "greener" resins where applicable and available. R&D is continuing to research new ways to regrind & reuse the plastic wastes as well as increasing the percentage of the re-used plastics within our products.

[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

TE does not make plastics polymers

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

TE does not make durable plastics.

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

TE does use resins/polymers within the components we produce. Most commonly used durable plastics at TE are PE, Nylon 66, PP, PET.

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

TE uses common polymers in the packaging of its products shipped to customers.

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

As above, TE uses common polymers in the packaging of its products shipped to customers.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

NA to TE's business.

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Plastics/resin wastes are incorporated into our landfill reduction initiatives. TE has developed a Resin Waste Playbook on how reductions can be applied across the Enterprise as well as a Resin Waste Elimination Toolbox.

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

NA to TE's business.

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

No

(10.2.2) Comment

NA to TE's business.

[Fixed row]

(10.4) Provide the total weight of plastic durable goods and durable components produced, sold and/or used, and indicate the raw material content.

Durable goods and durable components used

(10.4.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

67722.66

(10.4.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

- % virgin fossil-based content
- % post-consumer recycled content

(10.4.3) % virgin fossil-based content

92

(10.4.6) % post-consumer recycled content

8

(10.4.7) Please explain

Total weight of virgin resins used for production.
[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

Plastic packaging sold

(10.5.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

0

(10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

- % virgin fossil-based content

(10.5.3) % virgin fossil-based content

0

(10.5.7) Please explain

TE Connectivity does not collect this data currently. However, we have identified the gaps, and started a new project that will kick off next year. The project will collect and quantify the data asked in this question.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

0

(10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

None

(10.5.7) Please explain

TE Connectivity does not collect this data currently. However, we have identified the gaps, and started a new project that will kick off next year. The project will collect and quantify the data asked in this question.

[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

Plastic packaging sold

(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential

Select all that apply

% technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable

(10.5.1.5) Please explain

This value is assigned based on an estimated figure and an expert opinion from the engineering and operations teams. We do not quantify this metric yet.

Plastic packaging used**(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential**

Select all that apply

% technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable**(10.5.1.5) Please explain**

This value is assigned based on an estimated figure and an expert opinion from the engineering and operations teams. We do not quantify this metric yet.
[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

Land/water protection

Species management

Education & awareness

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Ramsar sites	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- Climate change
- Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

- Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)
- Water withdrawals– total volumes

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

Attestation Standards (AT-C Section 105 & 210/205) established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

Climate change-related standards

IRECS (International Renewable Energy Certificate services)

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

A limited assurance assessment was conducted by Deloitte & Touche for FY23. The 2023 Statement of GHG Emissions, Energy Consumption, and Water Withdrawal has been prepared based on a fiscal reporting year that is the same as the Company's financial reporting period. The Company has a 52- or 53-week fiscal year that ends on the last Friday of September.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

FY23 Stmt GHG Energy Water Withdrawal TE 3.8.2024 Final.pdf
 [Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information	Attachment (optional)
	<i>Management Rep Letter for ESG assurance engagement</i>	<i>2024-09-30 TE CDP Submission - Management Rep Letter 2024.pdf</i>

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Corporate Environmental Director

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

Yes, CDP may share our Disclosure Submission Lead contact details with the Pacific Institute

